

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
KAREN G. JOHNSON-MCKEYAN (SBN 121570)
kjohnson-mckewan@orrick.com
ANNETTE L. HURST (SBN 148738)
ahurst@orrick.com
GABRIEL M. RAMSEY (SBN 209218)
gramsey@orrick.com
405 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: 1.415.773.5700 / Fax: 1.415.773.5759
PETER A. BICKS (*pro hac vice*)
pbicks@orrick.com
LISA T. SIMPSON (*pro hac vice*)
lsimpson@orrick.com
51 West 52nd Street, New York, NY 10019
Tel: 1.212.506.5000 / Fax: 1.212.506.5151

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
DAVID BOIES (*pro hac vice*)
dboies@bsfllp.com
333 Main Street, Armonk, NY 10504
Tel: 1.914.749.8200 / Fax: 1.914.749.8300
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177)
sholtzman@bsfllp.com
1999 Harrison St., Ste. 900, Oakland, CA 94612
Tel: 1.510.874.1000 / Fax: 1.510.874.1460

ORACLE CORPORATION
DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049)
dorian.daley@oracle.com
DEBORAH K. MILLER (SBN 95527)
deborah.miller@oracle.com
MATTHEW M. SARBORARIA (SBN 211600)
matthew.sarboraria@oracle.com
RUCHIKA AGRAWAL (SBN 246058)
ruchika.agrawal@oracle.com
500 Oracle Parkway,
Redwood City, CA 94065
Tel: 650.506.5200 / Fax: 650.506.7117

Attorneys for Plaintiff
ORACLE AMERICA, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.
Plaintiff,
v.
GOOGLE INC.
Defendant.

Case No. CV 10-03561 WHA
**ORACLE'S RESPONSE TO THE
DECLARATION OF SUSAN A. KIM IN
SUPPORT OF ORACLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO SEAL (ECF NO. 1596)**
Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
Judge: Honorable William H. Alsup

1 Oracle respectfully submits the following response to the Declaration of Susan A. Kim In
 2 Support of Oracle's Administrative Motion to Seal Portions of Oracle's Motion *In Limine* #6 Re:
 3 Rule 706 Expert, Professor James Kearl, ECF No. 1596.

4 Google seeks to selectively seal Dr. Kearl's damages numbers that appear in Oracle's
 5 Motion *in Limine* #6 (ECF No. 1582-4 at 1) so that the press and the public only see the damages
 6 number Google likes: \$0. ECF No. 1596 (Kim Decl.) ¶ 2 (seeking to seal two out of three of Dr.
 7 Kearl's damages figures on p. 1, lines 17-19 of Oracle's motion). Allowing Google to seal the
 8 other numbers put forward by Dr. Kearl, which are much closer to the opinion of Oracle's
 9 damages expert, James Malackowski, while publicly disclosing a damages figure of \$0 will leave
 10 the press and the public with the inaccurate impression that Dr. Kearl supports Google's position
 11 when just the opposite is true. Additionally, there is no meaningful distinction for sealing and
 12 confidentiality purposes between Mr. Malackowski's disgorgement damages figure of \$8.8
 13 billion, which Google has publicly filed on the Court's docket (ECF No. 1571-8 (Ex. G to the
 14 Bayley Decl.) at 7), and Dr. Kearl's two non-zero damages figures that would justify Google's
 15 selective request to seal. Although Dr. Kearl's figures differ somewhat from Mr. Malackowski's,
 16 both experts' figures are based on analyses of the same underlying Google revenue and financial
 17 information. Google should not be permitted to selectively disclose information when it helps
 18 Google's case and keep the same information from public view when it does not.

19 Dated: April 6, 2016

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

21 By: /s/ Peter A. Bicks

Peter A. Bicks

Attorney for Plaintiff